AME OF SET THE THE
and the second s
SUBJECT: DECISION ON TERMINAL DISCLAIMERS INFORMAL FORM
E DECISION ON TERMINAL DISSE
APPL S.N. 914 44500
DATE Fuller - 4-02
CO CYAMINER:
TO EARTHOMAS MALLROOM FOR FOR
TARALEGAL DEBORAH THOMAS  MAILROOM DATE  PARALEGAL DEBORAH THOMAS  NUMBER OF T.D(S). FILED  NUMB
Think YES
TISTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. Will the text office action to notify applicant applicant in the submitted T.D. Will the text office action to notify applicant applicant in the submitted by this informal memo in your next office action to not special Program Examiner. THIS memorange applicant, nor should a copy be form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next office action to please see me or our special Program Examiner. THIS memorange applicant, nor should be completed to applicant, nor should be completed and the submitted action in the submitted action in the submitted that the submitted action is completed, you must initial and date a return this memorange in the submitted action
TINSTRUCTIONS: I have tended by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE form paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE form paragraphs identified by this informal about the acceptability of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE form paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE form paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE form paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of our NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs identified by this informal memonity of the T.D., please see the of the NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs in the NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs in the NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs in the NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs in the NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs in the NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs in the NOR SHOULD A COPY BE from paragraphs in th
form paragraphs destrois at all about the door of MUST NOT BE MALLED INITIAL AND DATE & RETURN THIS MICHO
my analysis of flat INTERNAL MENTO OTHER COMPLETED, YOU MUST ""
MEMOIS AN INFORMATION OFFICE ACTION
(1) 11:47 11:
- TROOPER and fias been recolued. (See 14.24).
The TD is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below. (See 14.24).  The TD is NOTPROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below. (See 14.24).  The TD is NOTPROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below. (See 14.24).
The TDLIS PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked sometime application file to charge the TDLIS NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any pre authorization in the application file to charge
The TILIS NOT I to a submitted nor is there any pie authorized nor is there any pie authorized nor is there any pie authorized nor is there are pieces and
The state of the s
The Textions of 251
a depositation.
The recording the recording the first of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (See 14.26 and 14.26, In that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest (and 14.26, In the T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest (and 14.26, In the T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest (and 14.26, In the T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest (and 14.26, In the T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest (and 14.26, In the T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest (and 14.26, In the T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest (and 14.26, In the T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest (and 14.26, In the T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed to application part of the properties of the proper
Application 12 Application 12 Application 12 In the application 12 and 14 Application 12 Applica
does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the figurature) in the approximation relection relections and satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the figurature in the approximation relection relections and satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the figurature in the approximation relection relections are the satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the figurature in the approximation relection relationship in the approximation relationship in the satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the figurature in the approximation relationship in the satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the figurature in the approximation relationship in the satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the figurature in the approximation relationship in the satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the figurature in the approximation relationship in the satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in the satisfy Rule
TIThe Turbes and the business entity representations and accorded to overcome a double patenting to see
The Etternation of the common owelding over
I The TD does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the personnel of the application of the patenting rejection of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application and double patenting rejection of the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application and double patenting rejection of the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application and double patenting rejection of the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application and double patenting rejection and the property of the discharge must be of a terminal portion of the application application application and the property of the discharge must be of a terminal portion of the application application application application and the property of the discharge must be of a terminal portion of the application application application application and the property of the discharge must be of a terminal portion of the application applica
I The ID. lacks the of a terminal police of a termi
- 4-450 3 particular claims(s), Which is not 426, 14.26.2).
I This one clean to be granted. Which 1490. Lock the
0111112 - 140
signed the terminal discourse for the business endy to antical 29.11.
I I has failed to state his/her capacity to bight to the first and possing teachers. I I has failed to state his/her capacity to bight to the first and possing teachers. I I is not recognized as an officer of the assignee. (See 14.29 and possing teacher submitted, nor is the I I is not recognized as an officer of the from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted. NOTE: This I I No Jocumentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted. NOTE: This I I No Jocumentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted. NOTE: This I I No Jocumentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted. NOTE: This I I No Jocumentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the I I I No Jocumentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the I I I No Jocumentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted.
I That falled as an officer of the assigned (the first line of the assigned has been submitted, not is the I is not recognized as an officer of the assigned inventor(s) to assigned has been submitted. Note: This I is not recognized as a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assigned has been submitted. This is not recognized as a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assigned that I is not recognized as an officer of title assigned.  I This is not recognized as an officer of the assigned in the original inventor(s) to assigned has been submitted, not is the inventor of the assigned in the original inventor(s) to assigned has been submitted. Not it is the condition of the assigned in the original inventor(s) to assigned has been submitted, not is the assigned in the original inventor(s) to assigned has been submitted. Not it is not inventor or it is
1 1 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
a seperale paper successful in the office. 37.City 3.3507.Co. or in a seperale paper
I I No population where such evidence is reconded to may be found in the two series of the may be found in the two series and series are series of the serie
frame specificance of the specifying of the feet and the
I I No incumentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assent the control of the cont
documentally 2-months of the file is in the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that, to the best of the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and that the exidentiary documents have been reviewed and the exidentiary docume
specifying that the evidentialy documents and take action. 37 CFR 3.13(1).
T No statement of the file is in the assignee seeming to an and the file is in the assignee seeming to an arm the file is in the assignee seeming to an arm the file is in the assignee seeming to an arm the file is in the assignee seeming to an arm the file is in the assignee seeming to a se
Imordedge Him icua
Ante Blutty
I The little naterial paper filed appointing a new of the paterial
of record in oathleed. Or a seperate property forms the basis for the double property for the double p
I The TD. Is not signed. (See 14.26, 14.26.3).  I The TD. Is not signed. (See 14.26, 14.26.3).  I The TD. Is not signed. (See 14.26, 14.26.3).  I The TD. Is not signed. (See 14.26, 14.26.3).  I The Sectal number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patential.  I The Sectal number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patential.  I The Sectal number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patential.  I The Sectal number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patential.
- serial number of the application for the number
I ] Incort (See 1432).
orincon a confine number of the patent in reexam of the
The serial number fulls application (of the manual of the
I 7 The setial number of the application (or the number of the patent in reexam or relssue case(s) being discialmed or incorrect. (See 14.32).  I 7 The setial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or relssue case(s) being discialmed are incorrect. (See 14.26.4 or 14.26.5).
TITHE SECURITY OF 14.26.4 or 14.26.5).  Definition of the second of the
The region disclaimed is incorrect of that spromote
111

PTO/SB/25 (10-96)
Approved for use through 10/31/99, OMB 0851-0031
Patent and Tradsmark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OBVIATE A PROVISIONAL DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION OVER A PENDING SECOND APPLICATION

Docket Number (Optional) 3393.608 (97-324.6)

In re Application of: : Warren M. Farnworth

Application No. 09/944,508 Filed: August 30, 2001

FOR CONTINUOUS MODE SOLDER JET APPARATUS

The owner, <u>Micros Technology</u>, <u>Tac.</u> of <u>100</u> percent interest in the instant application hereby disclaims, except as provided below, the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the instant application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 158 and 173 as shortened by any terminal discialmer filed prior to the grant of any patent granted on pending secondApplicationNumber <u>09/339,015</u>, filedon <u>June 23, 1999</u>. The owner hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the Instant application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that it and any patent granted on the second application are commonly owned. This agreement runs with any patent granted on the instant application and is binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

In making the above disclaimer, the owner does not disclaim the terminal part of any patent granted on the instant application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 156 and 173 of any patent granted on the second application, as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to the patent grant, in the event that any such granted patent: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 CFR 1.321, has all claims cancelled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is in any manner terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to its grant.

Check either box 1 or 2 below, if appropriate.

1. For submissions on behalf of an organization (e.g., corporation, partnership, university, government agency, etc.), the undersigned is empowered to act on behalf of the organization.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued therein.

2. X The undereigned is an attorney of record.

Signature Date

James R. Duzan

Typed or printed name

Terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) is included.

\*Certification under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is required if terminal disclaimer is signed by the assignee (owner). Form PTO/SB/98 may be used for making this certification. See MPEP § 324.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case.

Any comments on the emount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark

Office, Washington; DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner: for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.